

The K -group of $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible Sheaves

Matvei Libine

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Let Z be a smooth projective manifold. In these notes I will prove that the K -group of $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible sheaves is isomorphic to the free abelian group with one generator for each open semialgebraic subset U (which I will denote by the same letter) modulo the Mayer-Vietoris relations: $U + V - U \cup V - U \cap V = 0$.

I will prove it by showing that both groups in question are isomorphic to the group of all integer-valued semialgebraic functions on Z .

Let us introduce notations first. Let Z be a smooth projective manifold. The K -group of $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible sheaves on Z will be denoted by $\mathfrak{K}$. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ denote the factor-group of the free abelian group with one generator for each open semialgebraic set U by the subgroup generated by expressions of the form $U + V - U \cup V - U \cap V = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ denote the group of all integer-valued semialgebraic functions on Z . For any subset $S \subset Z$, let χ_S denote the indicator function of S , $\mathbb{C}_S$ the constant sheaf on S , and $j_S : S \hookrightarrow Z$ the inclusion map.

Theorem 1 $\mathfrak{G} \simeq \mathfrak{F} \simeq \mathfrak{K}$. *The composition isomorphism $\mathfrak{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{K}$ sends U into $[j_{U!}(\mathbb{C}_U)]$.*

Proof. Since for any open $U, V \subset Z$ we have $\chi_U + \chi_V - \chi_{U \cup V} - \chi_{U \cap V} = 0$, we have a well-defined map

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}, \quad U \mapsto \chi_U.$$

Integer-valued semialgebraic functions are integral linear combinations of characteristic functions of semialgebraic sets. By definition, a semialgebraic set is a disjoint union of locally closed ones. Let $Q \subset Z$ be a locally closed

semialgebraic set, write $Q = U \cap C$, where U and C are open and closed semialgebraic sets respectively. Then

$$\chi_Q = \chi_{U \cap C} = \chi_U - \chi_{U \setminus C}$$

which shows that α is surjective.

Lemma 2 *α is injective and hence isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that $\sum_i a_i U_i \in G$ ($a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) is such that $\sum_i a_i \chi_{U_i} = 0$. We need to show that $\sum_i a_i U_i = 0$ in $\mathfrak{G}$. Applying the Mayer-Vietoris relations we can assume that all the U_i 's are connected. Grouping terms we can assume that all the U_i 's are different. Let $M = \max_i |a_i|$.

First we will show that using the Mayer-Vietoris relations we can arrange the U_i 's so that any two U_j, U_k are either disjoint or one is contained in the other. Let us call two sets A and B *overlapping* if $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ and $B \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. That is A and B overlap if they have nonempty intersection and none of them is contained in the other.

Fix a finite Borel measure μ on Z such that $\mu(U) > 0$ whenever U is a nonempty open set.

Let us check that we can always find two overlapping sets with coefficients of the same sign as long as there are some overlapping sets. Suppose that U_j and U_k overlap and $a_j > 0$, $a_k < 0$. Pick points $x_1 \in U_j \cap \partial U_k$ and $x_2 \in U_j \cap \partial U_k$ (such points exist because U_j and U_k are connected). Then $(a_j \chi_{U_j} + a_k \chi_{U_k})(x_1) > (a_j \chi_{U_j} + a_k \chi_{U_k})(x_2)$. Since $\sum_i a_i \chi_{U_i}(x_1) = \sum_i a_i \chi_{U_i}(x_2) = 0$, there exists l such that $a_l \chi_{U_l}(x_1) < a_l \chi_{U_l}(x_2)$. Let us say $a_l > 0$, then $x_2 \in U_l$ and $x_1 \notin U_l$. It easily follows that U_j and U_l overlap and a_j has same sign as a_l . If $a_l < 0$, then for the same reasoning U_k and U_l overlap and a_k, a_l have same signs.

Suppose that U_j and U_k overlap and $a_j \geq a_k > 0$ or $a_j \leq a_k < 0$, then we replace $a_j U_j + a_k U_k$ with $(a_j - a_k)U_j + a_k(U_j \cup U_k) + a_j(U_j \cap U_k)$. The open set $U_j \cap U_k$ may not be connected in which case we replace it with algebraic sum of its connected components. Observe that the number $\sum_i |a_i| \mu(U_i)$ does not increase, and it decreases if and only if at least one cancellation is performed. That is either $U_j \cup U_k$ or one of the connected components of $U_j \cap U_k$ appeared in the original expression $\sum_i a_i U_i$ with coefficient of opposite sign. Also, the number $\max_i |a_i|$ does not increase either.

We will show that if we keep performing these replacements then the process will stop after a finite number of steps, that is we will arrive at an expression with no overlaps.

Indeed, observe that the number of expressions of the form $\sum_i b_i V_i$ where $0 < |b_i| \leq M$ and V_i 's are distinct connected open sets obtained from U_i 's by taking unions and connected components of intersections is finite. Thus to show that the process stops it is enough to check that we cannot get the same expression twice. For the sake of contradiction suppose an expression E appeared twice. Then because the function $\sum_i |a_i| \mu(U_i)$ is non-increasing it must stay constant. Thus there will be no cancellations in the coefficients at each step.

Let Ω consists of all open sets U satisfying the following two properties:

1. The set U appears in the expression E or in an intermediate expression.
2. If U appears in E , then there is an intermediate expression where U appears with a coefficient of larger absolute value than in E .

Ω is not empty because the very first step introduces an element of Ω , namely a connected component of $U_j \cap U_k$. Clearly, Ω is finite. Let V be a minimal element of Ω , that is V is not contained in any other $V' \in \Omega$. But then once V appears in an intermediate expression with coefficient of greater absolute value than in E it cannot be canceled. Thus we arrive at contradiction which proves that no expression can appear twice. Therefore, the process terminates at an expression with no overlaps.

Our last step is to show that the sum $\sum_i a_i U_i$ is empty when there are no overlaps. Suppose not, then pick any U_j which is not contained in any other U_k . Since $a_j \neq 0$ there must be U_k such that $U_k \subsetneq U_j$ and no other U_l contains U_k . Since U_j is connected, $U_j \cap \partial U_k \neq \emptyset$ and we can pick a point $x \in U_j \cap \partial U_k$. Then because there are no overlaps $0 = \sum_i a_i \chi_{U_i}(x) = a_j \chi_{U_j}(x) = a_j \neq 0$, a contradiction. This finishes our proof of the lemma. $\square$

Next we construct a map

$$\beta : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}.$$

If $\S$ is an $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible sheaf, $\beta(\S)$ is a function whose value at a point $z \in Z$ is the dimension of the stalk of $\S$ at z . Clearly, this map descends to the K -group. It is also clear that if U is an open semialgebraic subset of Z , then $\beta(j_{U!}(\mathbb{C}_U)) = \chi_U$. On the other hand, the elements $[j_{U!}(\mathbb{C}_U)]$ generate $\mathfrak{K}$ and one can show that the map β is injective and surjective using the same reasoning as for α . Thus β is an isomorphism. Alternatively, Theorem 9.7.1 in [KaScha] states that β is an isomorphism.

As a result we obtain an isomorphism

$$\beta^{-1} \circ \alpha : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$$

which sends U into $[j_U!(\mathbb{C}_U)]$. $\square$

References

- [DM] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. Jour. **84** (1996), 497-540.
- [KaScha] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Springer, 1990.

matvei@math.umass.edu
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY
OF MASSACHUSETTS, LEDERLE GRADUATE RESEARCH TOWER,
710 NORTH PLEASANT STREET, AMHERST, MA 01003